Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/544,259	ALTENSCHOPFER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	S. Tran	1615
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>S. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stephen E. Reiter.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 June 2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	0]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview Including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments agreedment affective completed the Examiner's concepts and Amendment in agreement to the Examiner's successions dated 03/05/09. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GRIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS 7 DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO